

Joseph W. Cotchett (36324)
jcotchett@cpmlegal.com
Steven N. Williams (175489)
swilliams@cpmlegal.com
Nanci E. Nishimura (152621)
nnishimura@cpmlegal.com
Neil Swartzberg (215133)
nswartzberg@cpmlegal.com
Aron K. Liang (228936)
aliang@cpmlegal.com

COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY
San Francisco Airport Office Center
840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
Burlingame, CA 94010
Telephone: (650) 697-6000
Facsimile: (650) 697-0577

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Wortman, Adams,
Garcia and the Proposed Class*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

DONALD WORTMAN, WILLIAM ADAMS, MARGARET GARCIA ,
individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated.

Plaintiffs

vs.

AIR NEW ZEALAND, ALL NIPPON AIRWAYS, CATHAY PACIFIC AIRWAYS, CHINA AIRLINES, EVA AIRWAYS, JAPAN AIRLINES INTERNATIONAL, MALAYSIA AIRLINES, NORTHWEST AIRLINES, QANTAS AIRWAYS, SINGAPORE AIRLINES, THAI AIRWAYS, UNITED AIRLINES.

) Case No. 07-cv-5634-CRB
)
)
)(MDL No. 1913 – *In re Transpacific*
)(*Passenger Air Transportation Antitrust*
)(*Litigation*)
)
)
)
)(**JOINT STIPULATION PURSUANT TO**
)(**LOCAL RULE 6-1 EXTENDING TIME**
)(**FOR CHINA AIRLINES LTD. TO**
)(**RESPOND TO COMPLAINT &**
)(**AGREEMENT OF DEFENSE COUNSEL**
)(**TO ACCEPT SERVICE OF COMPLAINT**

Defendants.

1 Pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, and in light of the February 19, 2008 Judicial Panel on
 2 Multidistrict Litigation (“JPML”) Transfer Order consolidating this case and the other
 3 Transpacific Passenger Air cases, Plaintiffs Donald Wortman, William Adams, and Margaret
 4 Garcia (“Plaintiffs”), and defendant China Airlines Ltd. (“Defendant”), through counsel, hereby
 5 stipulate and agree as follows:

6 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that Defendant’s time to answer, move or
 7 otherwise plead is enlarged until either: (1) 45 days after plaintiffs in the Transpacific Passenger
 8 Air cases file and serve a consolidated amended complaint; or, (2) 45 days after plaintiffs in the
 9 Transpacific Passenger Air cases provide written notice that a consolidated amended complaint
 10 will not be filed.

11 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that defense counsel shall accept service
 12 on behalf of Defendant of the summons and complaints in the above-captioned matter, including
 13 any amended or consolidated complaints, and further, that Defendant shall not contest sufficiency
 14 of process or service of process. This Stipulation does not constitute a waiver of any other
 15 defense including, but not limited to, the defenses of lack of personal or subject matter
 16 jurisdiction or improper venue. Nothing in this paragraph shall obligate Defendant to answer,
 17 move, or otherwise respond to any complaint until the time provided in the preceding paragraph.

18 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

19

20 Respectfully Submitted,

21

Dated: February 22, 2008

By: /s/ Neil Swartzberg

22

Joseph W. Cotchett

Steven N. Williams

Nanci E. Nishimura

Neil Swartzberg

Aron K. Liang

COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY

San Francisco Airport Office Center

840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200

Burlingame, CA 94010

Telephone: (650) 697-6000

Facsimile: (650) 697-0577

23

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

Dated: February 22, 2008

By: /s/ James V. Dick
James V. Dick
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY LLP
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20004
Tel: 202-626-6600
Fax: 202-626-6780
jdick@ssd.com

Attorneys for Defendant China Airlines Ltd.

ATTESTATION OF FILING

Pursuant to N.D. Cal. General Order No. 45, section 45 X(B), I, Neil Swartzberg, hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this stipulation and proposed order has been obtained from Counsel for Defendant China Airlines Ltd. who has provided the conformed signature above.

COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY

By: */s/ Neil Swartzberg*
Neil Swartzberg

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

Dated: February 26, 2008

